# Grade 6 Assessment of Reading, Writing and Mathematics, Spring 2006 

Student Booklet: Language 1

Scoring Guide

| Code | Descriptor |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | - Blank: nothing written or drawn in the lined space provided |
| I | - Illegible: cannot be read; completely crossed out / erased; not written in English <br> - Irrelevant content: does not attempt assigned question (e.g., comment on the task, drawings, "?", "!", "I don't know") <br> - Off topic: no relationship of written work to the question, no mention of popcorn or other information from the text. |
| 10 | - Response may identify but does not describe a safety issue related to popping corn. <br> - Ideas and information from the reading selection are inaccurate. |
| 20 | - Response provides a limited description of a safety issue related to popping corn. <br> - References from the text may be vague or irrelevant. |
| 30 | - Response describes a safety issue related to popping corn. <br> - Response contains some specific and accurate supporting details from the reading selection, or ideas from personal knowledge and experience. |
| 40 | - Response provides a thorough description of a safety issue related to popping corn. <br> - Response is developed with accurate and specific supporting details from the text or from personal knowledge and experience. |

## EQAO Grade 6 Assessment

## Scoring Guite - Reading-Open Response

Popcorn Under Pressure


| Code | Descriptor |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | - Blank: nothing written or drawn in the lined space provided |
| I | - Illegible: cannot be read; completely crossed out / erased; not written in English <br> - Irrelevant content: does not attempt assigned question (e.g., comment on the task, drawings, "?", "!", "I don't know") <br> - Off topic: no relationship of written work to the question, no mention of popcorn or other information from the text. |
| 10 | - Response may identify but does not describe a safety issue related to popping corn. <br> - Ideas and information from the reading selection are inaccurate. |
| 20 | - Response provides a limited description of a safety issue related to popping corn. <br> - References from the text may be vague or irrelevant. |
| 30 | - Response describes a safety issue related to popping corn. <br> - Response contains some specific and accurate supporting details from the reading selection, or ideas from personal knowledge and experience. |
| 40 | - Response provides a thorough description of a safety issue related to popping corn. <br> - Response is developed with accurate and specific supporting details from the text or from personal knowledge and experience. |

Question 12
Code 30
Describe a safety issue related to popping popcorn. Use information from the text and
your own ideas to support your answer
The pot is really rot. When I was little
we hat a old festion popoorn makes and I
pot my hand on the bottom and I lurnt
myself: and it say "pot it in a for pot" in the texte.
$\begin{aligned} & \text { They can also eypiede. The siffy, pio pprorn things } \\ & \text { expode really easily. }\end{aligned}$
expode really easily.

Rationale: Response identifies and describes a safety issue related to popping corn. Some supporting details are vague or irrelevant.

## Question 12 <br> Code 40

- Describe a safety issue related to popping popcorn. Use information from the text and your own ideas to support your answer.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { You should lave a tos on the bopoorn. } \\
& \text { because you could get burnt by a flising } \\
& \text { pieace of popcornond don't po near } \\
& \text { the stoue in case you pet buund' } \\
& \text { diy the stove. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Rationale: Response indicates a safety issue (getting burned) related to popping corn and provides specific, accurate and relevant supporting details (flying popcorn and hot stove) to describe the issue.

## Question 12

Code 30

Describe a safety issue related to popping popcorn. Use information from the text and your own ideas to support your answer.
For safety keep a lid on the pan you are
popoing popcord in beravse unce the popron is under so much pressure the shell cracks and the pieces could hit someones eye!

Rationale: Response identifies and describes a safety issue related to popping corn. Response indicates a plausible safety issue (getting hit in the eye).
Question $12 \quad$ Code 40

Describe a safety issue related to popping popcorn. Use information from the text and your own ideas to support your answer.
for cofecty fou hase ie hut a lid over the pat. Do not pat so much oil becauce when it paps. its'golng to ae right in pour face. The temapture of the oil wall be really hot. Do not over cook it because yau can burn down the house.

Rationale: Response identifies and describes a safety issue related to popping corn. Supporting details are specific accurate and relevant.

| Code | Descriptor |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | - Blank: nothing written or drawn in the lined space provided |
| I | - Illegible: cannot be read; completely crossed out / erased; not written in English <br> - Irrelevant content: does not attempt assigned question (e.g., comment on the task, drawings, "?", "!", "I don't know") <br> - Off topic: no relationship of written work to the question, no mention of popcorn or other information from the text. |
| 10 | - Response does not indicate how the text makes a complicated process more understandable. <br> - Ideas and information from the reading selection are inaccurate. |
| 20 | - Response provides a limited explanation of how the text makes the process more understandable. <br> - References from the text may be vague or irrelevant. |
| 30 | - Response provides an explanation of how the text makes the process more understandable. <br> - Response contains some specific and accurate supporting details from the reading selection, or ideas from personal knowledge. |
| 40 | - Response provides a thorough explanation of how the text makes the process more understandable. <br> - Response is developed with accurate, specific and relevant supporting details from the text or from personal knowledge. |


| Question 13 Code 10 | Question 13 Code 10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| How does this text make a complicated process understandable? Use information from the text and your own ideas to support your answer. <br>  the serat te itwe per paperin. | How does this text make a complicated process understandable? Use information from the text and your own ideas to support your answer. <br> This text makes a complicate process understandable because now I can do it with aperent's help. |
| Rationale: Response refers to the text but indicates a misunderstanding of the selection and the prompt. | Rationale: Response does not indicate how the text makes a complicated process more understandable. |
| Question 13 Code 20 | Question 13 Code 20 |
| How does this text make a complicated process understandable? Use information from the text and your own ideas to support your answer. <br> It mates it anderstondable <br> because if goes frem putting the bits in the oof and then ooes step by step: | How does this text make a complicated process understandable? Use information from the text and your own ideas to support your answer. <br> This text makes a complocated process understandable bocause it uses the example of cou actuacy making papcorn. |
| Rationale: Response provides a limited explanation with vague references to the selection. | Response provides a limited explanation with a vague reference to the selection. |


| Code | Descriptor |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | - Blank: nothing written or drawn in the lined space provided |
| I | - Illegible: cannot be read; completely crossed out / erased; not written in English <br> - Irrelevant content: does not attempt assigned question (e.g., comment on the task, drawings, "?", "!", "I don't know") <br> - Off topic: no relationship of written work to the question, no mention of popcorn or other information from the text. |
| 10 | - Response does not indicate how the text makes a complicated process more understandable. <br> - Ideas and information from the reading selection are inaccurate. |
| 20 | - Response provides a limited explanation of how the text makes the process more understandable. <br> - References from the text may be vague or irrelevant. |
| 30 | - Response provides an explanation of how the text makes the process more understandable. <br> - Response contains some specific and accurate supporting details from the reading selection, or ideas from personal knowledge. |
| 40 | - Response provides a thorough explanation of how the text makes the process more understandable. <br> - Response is developed with accurate, specific and relevant supporting details from the text or from personal knowledge. |


#### Abstract

Question 13 Code 30

How does this text make a complicated process understandable? Use information from the ext and your own ideas to suppor your answer. It mates it anderstandable, by giving exsamples that Kids like me can unber stand sush as the balloon referance or the begining paragraph

Rationale: Response provides an example of how this text makes a complicated process understandable and uses specific and accurate supporting details.


Question 13
Code 30

## Question 13

Code 40

How does this text make a complicated process understandable? Use information from the text and your own ideas to support your answer
The tert mokes the pocess of popping corn understondabile beccive it gives examples of what the iofermation is saying, such as the shell keeping the hot steam inside like the nir inside of a balloon. It explains deady each step of


Rationale: Response is developed with specific, accurate and relevant reasons and examples to explain how this complicated process is made easier to understand.

How does this text make a complicated process understandable? Use information from the


## anc in

Response contains some specific and accurate supporting details from the text.

## Question 13

Code 40

How does this text make a complicated process understandable? Use information from the text and your own ideas to support your answer
This text makes the complicated process understandabl by not using hot somany complex scientific words. If this text uses one then it defines the word clearly. The autho has made the passage very clear and understandable. The text also contains a bit of humour.

Response is developed with specific, accurate and relevant supporting details.

